CI	FILED ERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
š.	JAN 2 2 2009
CEN BY	TRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY
-	

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING

[Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.1(a) (6);

18 U.S.C. 3143(a)]

Defendant.

The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the Linial District for alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of his/her [probation] (supervised release); and

The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a), The Court finds that;

A. We the defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on nature of alleged Violations, absence of

. 1	I fully verified background information and
. 2	bail resources
3	
4	and/or
5	B.
6	clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose
7	a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if
. 8	released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based
9	on: D's background criminal history.
10	nature of alleged offenses
11_	
12	
13	
14	IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending
15	the further revocation proceedings.
16	· ·
17	Dated: 1/02/09
18 19	Carla M. Wochel
20	UNITES STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21	
22	CARLA M. WOEHRLE
23	
24	$\cdot$ ,
25	
26	
27	
28	